From: Boyd, Andrew

**Sent time:** 08/31/2016 01:02:01 PM

To: David Heineck <davidh@SummitLaw.com>

Cc: Connery, Shannon

Subject: FW: 2015-12-30(1) Response to FMC Analysis of USC material disposal FINAL sh.docx

Attachments: 2015-12-30(1) Response to FMC Analysis of USC material disposal FINAL sh.docx

## David

As requested, attached are the Tribes' comments on FMC's analysis of USC material disposal. EPA comments on FMC's analysis that were provided to the Tribes was limited to comments provided to Rachel Greengas at FMC on 7/1/16 that the Tribes were copied on. I'll forward that email to you in case you don't have it.

Andy

Andrew Boyd

U.S. EPA, Region 10

Tel: (206) 553-1222

boyd.andrew@epa.gov

SENSITIVE COMMUNICATION INTENDED ONLY

FOR USE OF RECEPIENTS NAMED ABOVE

----Original Message-----

From: Williams, Jonathan

Sent: Wednesday, August 31, 2016 12:46 PM

To: Boyd, Andrew <Boyd.Andrew@epa.gov>

Cc: McDonnell, Kimberlee < McDonnell.Kimberlee@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: 2015-12-30(1) Response to FMC Analysis of USC material disposal FINAL sh.docx

Below is what the Tribes sent you, me, and Beth December 30, 2015 via Kelly Wright.

Jonathan Williams, LHG

Remedial Project Manager

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900, ECL-122

Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone: (206) 553-1369

E-mail: williams.jonathan@epa.gov

-----Original Message-----

From: Kelly Wright [mailto:kwright@sbtribes.com]

Sent: Wednesday, December 30, 2015 6:21 PM

To: Williams, Jonathan <Williams.Jonathan@epa.gov>; Sheldrake, Beth <sheldrake.beth@epa.gov>; Albright, Rick <Albright.Rick@epa.gov>; Boyd, Andrew <Boyd.Andrew@epa.gov>

Cc: Jill Grant <jgrant@jillgrantlaw.com>; Gussie Lord <glord@jillgrantlaw.com>; Virginia Monsisco <vmonsisco@sbtribes.com>

Subject: 2015-12-30(1) Response to FMC Analysis of USC material disposal FINAL sh.docx

Please find attach the Tribes comments on the recent memos from FMC.

Call me if you have any questions.

Thanks

Kelly

## DRAFT FOR DISCUSSION PURPOSES ONLY

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10

IN THE MATTER OF:

U.S. EPA Region 10 Docket No. CERCLA-10-2103-0116

FMC Operable Unit of the Eastern Michaud Flats Superfund Site

FMC Corporation,

Respondent.

Proceedings under Section 106(a) and 122(b)(3) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(a) and 9622(b)(3).

## AGREEMENT AND FIRST MODIFICATION TO ADMINISTRATIVE ORDER REGARDING RESPONSE COST PAYMENTS TO BE PLACED IN SPECIAL ACCOUNT

- The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) issued Unilateral Administrative Order for Remedial Design and Remedial Action, EPA Docket No. CERCLA-10-2103-0116 (Order), to FMC Corporation as the Respondent on June 10, 2013 for FMC Operable Unit of the Eastern Michaud Flats Superfund Site (Site).
- By letter of June 20, 2013, Respondent provided its notice of intent to comply with the Order. Respondent has been performing the Remedial Design and Remedial Action work for the FMC Operable Unit.
- 3. The Order provided in Section XXII on "Payment of Response Costs" that Respondent "shall pay EPA all Response Costs incurred or to be incurred in connection with this Order, including the costs that EPA incurs overseeing Respondent's implementation of the Order.
- 4. The Respondent agrees to pay EPA all Response Costs, as defined in Section XXII of the Order, to be incurred in connection with the Order. The EPA and the
- 1 Agreement Regarding Response Cost Payments

Respondent agree that all EPA response costs paid by Respondent from the date of this Agreement forward, may be deposited by the EPA in the Eastern Michaud Flats Superfund Site/FMC Operable Unit Special Account 105X to be retained and used to conduct or finance response action at or in connection with the Site, or to be transferred by EPA to the EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund.

- 5. The Respondent is advised that violation of Paragraph 4 may subject it to civil penalties as provided in sections 109 and 122 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606 and 9622.
- 6. The scope of this Agreement is limited to the matters addressed above. All provisions of the Order remain in full force and effect to the extent not superseded by the limited terms of this Agreement. Beyond the terms of this Agreement, nothing herein changes Respondent's statements in its June 20, 2013 letter of intent, and Respondent reserves all of its rights and defenses with regard to the Order.

**Commented [A1]:** May strike to get agreement if respondent objects